



PATENT  
8017-1124

**IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Masami SAITO Conf. 6775

Application No. 10/773,161 Group 2851

Filed February 9, 2004 Examiner W. Dowling

PROJECTION DISPLAY SYSTEM AND PROJECTOR

**AMENDMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents April 5, 2005  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 9, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 9 of this paper.

projector such that at least a main projector unit thereof is positioned beneath an upper surface of the upper surface panel. This is illustrated, by way of example, in Figure 3C.

INOVA does not disclose a projector installation fixture for detachably mounting a projector on a side end of an upper surface panel of a table or desk. The projector is mounted below a desk, but is not detachably mounted on a side end of the desk. HAILE-MARIAM discloses a projector installation fixture for detachably mounting a projector on a side end of an upper surface panel of a table or desk, but there is no motivation in the references to modify this fixture so that the projector is mounted below the desk, nor is the cumbersome structure of the INOVA system amenable to the end mounting of HAILE-MARIAM. Accordingly, claim 4 is believed to be allowable.

In view of the present amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the present application has been placed in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Please charge the fee of \$1,000 for the five extra independent claims added herewith to Deposit Account No. 25-0120.